

人性化护理干预在肿瘤患者中的应用效果及对患者护理满意度的影响

杨正芳

上海中医药大学附属龙华医院 上海 200032

【摘要】: 目的: 方法: 2020
12 -2021 3 120 60
结果:
SAS SDS P 0.05 VAS
P 0.05 PSQI P 0.05
P 0.05 结论:

【关键词】:

The Effect of Humanistic Nursing Intervention in Tumor Patients and Its Influence on Patients' Nursing Satisfaction

Zhengfang Yang

Longhua Hospital Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine Shanghai 200032

Abstract: Objective: To explore the clinical effect of humanistic nursing intervention on tumor patients and its impact on patients' nursing satisfaction. Methods: a total of 120 tumor patients admitted to our hospital from December 2020 to March 2021 were selected and divided into two groups according to the principle of computer random distribution, namely, the control group and the research group, with 60 cases in each group. The control group received traditional nursing intervention, and the study group received humanized nursing intervention. The psychological state, pain degree, sleep quality and nursing satisfaction rate of the two groups were evaluated and compared. Results: the scores of SAS and SDS in the study group were lower than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$); The visual analog score (VAS) of the study group was lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$); The Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI) score of the study group was lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$); The nursing satisfaction rate of the study group was higher than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$). Conclusion: applying humanized nursing intervention to tumor patients can alleviate bad psychology, reduce pain, improve sleep quality, and have high patient satisfaction and recognition, which is worthy of clinical reference.

Keywords: Tumor; Humanized nursing; Nursing satisfaction

[3-4]

[1]

1 资料与方法

1.1

2020 12 -2021 3
120
60 32.28
21 78 44.20± 7.89
9 12 9 7
6 8 6 3
33.27 21

[2]

76 45.13± 8.04
 8 13 7 8 6
 7 7 4
 P 0.05

2 结果

21
 SAS SDS P 0.05
 1

1.2

1

	n	SAS		SDS	
		$\bar{x} \pm s$	$\bar{x} \pm s$	$\bar{x} \pm s$	$\bar{x} \pm s$
	60	52.13± 3.08	34.01± 2.85	53.04± 3.54	35.16± 2.40
	60	52.02± 3.16	41.66± 4.29	52.91± 3.28	41.97± 3.35
<i>t</i>	-	0.193	11.505	0.209	12.800
<i>P</i>	-	0.847	0.000	0.835	0.000

22

2

	n	VAS	
		$\bar{x} \pm s$	$\bar{x} \pm s$
	60	6.89± 1.25	3.44± 1.07
	60	7.01± 1.02	4.29± 1.13
<i>t</i>	-	0.576	4.231
<i>P</i>	-	0.566	0.000

23

3

P

0.05

3

4

	3		$\bar{x} \pm s$	
	n=60	n=60	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>
	2.18± 0.60	2.48± 0.62	2.199	0.031
	1.29± 0.77	1.75± 0.82	2.586	0.012
	2.15± 0.68	2.63± 0.70	3.111	0.003
	2.35± 1.07	2.89± 1.14	2.184	0.032
	2.02± 0.33	2.63± 0.65	5.292	0.000
	2.26± 0.71	2.81± 0.76	3.345	0.001
	2.13± 0.35	2.84± 0.40	8.449	0.000

1.3

1

SAS

SDS

/

2

VAS

/

PSQI

3

4

1.4

SPSS22.0

t

24

P 0.05

P 0.05

4

		4 [n %]			
	n				
	60	42 70.00	15 25.00	3 5.00	57 95.00
	60	35 58.33	14 23.33	11 18.33	49 81.67
χ^2	-	2.962	0.076	8.622	8.622
P	-	0.085	0.783	0.003	0.003

3 讨论

[5] ...

[6-7] ...

[8] ...

[9-10] ...

[11-12] ...

SAS SDS

VAS

参考文献:

[1] ... [J].
,2018,5(11):114- 116.

[2] ... [J].
,2018,1(18):179- 180.

[3] ... [J].
,2019,51(17):399- 400.

[4] ... PICC [J].
,2020,2(11):205.

[5] ... [J].
,2018,4(23):59- 60.

[6] ... [J].
,2018,5(30):227- 228.

[7] 琚. ... [J].
,2021,40(36):411- 412.

[8] ... [J].
,2020,1(2):169.

[9] ... [J].
,2020,27(4):450- 451.

[10] ... [J].
,2017,23(17):202- 203.

[11] ... [J].
,2016,36(2):183- 184.

[12] ... PICC [J].
(),2017,11(9):236- 237.